

U.S. v. Randall Crater, 19-CR-10063
Joint Uncontested Exhibit List
(June 30, 2022)

Exhibit Number	Proponent	Description of Exhibit	Date Admitted
1A	Government	Randall Crater LinkedIn record – profile screenshot	
1B	Government	Randall Crater LinkedIn record – email confirmation	
1C	Government	Randall Crater LinkedIn record – registration	
1D	Government	Randall Crater LinkedIn record – payment receipts	
2A	Government	Wells Fargo signature card	
2B	Government	Wells Fargo bank statements	
2C	Government	Wells Fargo checks (examples)	
3A	Government	Bank of America signature cards	
3B	Government	Bank of America bank statements (Account 6365)	
3C	Government	Bank of America bank statements (Account 6487)	
3D	Government	Bank of America checks (examples)	
4A	Government	BB&T signature card (Account 2534)	
4B	Government	BB&T bank statements (Account 2534)	
4C	Government	BB&T additional records (Account 2534)	
4D	Government	BB&T signature card (Account 7610)	
4E	Government	BB&T bank statements (Account 7610)	
4F	Government	BB&T additional records (Account 7610)	
5A	Government	Capital One signature card (Account 6387)	
5B	Government	Capital One bank statements (Account 6387)	
6	Government	Randall Crater Twitter screenshot	
7A	Government	2014-05-17 My Big Coin (Homepage)	
7B	Government	2014-05-17 My Big Coin (About Page)	
7C	Government	2015-08-01 My Big Coin (Homepage)	
7D	Government	2015-08-01 My Big Coin (About Page)	
7E	Government	2016-04-07 My Big Coin (Homepage)	

Exhibit Number	Proponent	Description of Exhibit	Date Admitted
7F	Government	2014-12-26 My Big Coin Exchange (Homepage)	
7G	Government	2015-07-13 My Big Coin Exchange (Homepage)	
7H	Government	2016-05-14 My Big Coin Exchange (Homepage)	
8A	Government	2013.12.30 Email Correspondence	
8B	Government	2014.2.5 Email Correspondence	
8C	Government	2014.3.1 Email Correspondence	
8D	Government	2014.3.14 Email Correspondence	
8E	Government	2014.3.25 Email Correspondence	
8F	Government	2014.6.7 Email Correspondence	
8G	Government	2014.7.21 Email Correspondence	
8H	Government	2014.8.6 Email Correspondence	
8I	Government	2014.9.12 Email Correspondence	
8J	Government	2014.10.20 Email Correspondence	
8K	Government	2014.10.26 Email Correspondence	
8L	Government	2015.2.17 Email Correspondence	
8M	Government	2015.2.18 Email Correspondence	
8N	Government	2015.3.3 Email Correspondence	
8O	Government	2015.3.4 Email Correspondence	
8P	Government	2016.4.13 (1) Email Correspondence	
8Q	Government	2016.4.13 (2) Email Correspondence	
8R	Government	2016.7.14 Email Correspondence	
8S	Government	2016.12.21 Email Correspondence	
8T	Government	2017.3.24 Email Correspondence	
8U	Government	2017.4.24 Email Correspondence	
8V	Government	2017.4.26 Email Correspondence	
8W	Government	2017.5.5 Email Correspondence	
8X	Government	2017.11.5 Email Correspondence	
9A	Government	Randall Crater text message 4/25/2017	
9B	Government	Text message to Randall Crater 4/21/2017	

U.S. v. Randall Crater, 19-CR-10063
Joint Contested Exhibit List
(June 30, 2022)

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
A	My Big Coin Facebook page	Defense - hearsay and are not posts by Mr. Crater	Social media by My Big Coin is probative of the existence of the scheme. Crater also knew about this social media and used it to get investors. Crater referenced the My Big Coin social media on his personal social media, and also made similar false claims directly to investors.	
B	My Big Coin Twitter page	Defense - hearsay and are not posts by Mr. Crater	Same as response to A	
C1	My Big Coin YouTube page	Defense - hearsay and are not posts by Mr. Crater	Same as response to A	
C2	My Big Coin YouTube video	Defense - hearsay and are not posts by Mr. Crater	Same as response to A	
D	Mark Gillespie text message 12/10/2015	Defense – Gillespie was not a co-conspirator, the text is hearsay, a lay opinion, and has no basis in fact. Not relevant and are prejudicial to the extent they are offered for the truth.	Gillespie was a paid agent of Crater. Text also admissible for effect on listener. And the fact that Crater was making up the price of Coins is highly probative of the scheme.	
E1	Lord & Guy receipt 1	Defense – Authentication	Witness will authenticate	
E2	Lord & Guy receipt 2	Defense – Authentication	Witness will authenticate	
F1	Southampton Jewelry Exchange receipt 1	Defense – Authentication	Witness will authenticate	
F2	Southampton Jewelry Exchange receipt 2	Defense – Authentication	Witness will authenticate	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
G1	Lynch - Crater texts set 1	Defense - Unknown	Unknown	
G2	Lynch - Crater texts set 2	Defense - Unknown	Unknown	
G3	Lynch - Crater texts set 3	Defense - Unknown	Unknown	
H1	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – Hearsay, not the posts of co-conspirators, and not authorized by Mr. Crater	Same as response to A	
H2	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H3	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H4	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H5	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense - same as H1	Same as response to A	
H6	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H7	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H8	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H9	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H10	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H11	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense - same as H1	Same as response to A	
H12	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H13	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H14	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H15	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H16	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H17	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense - same as H1	Same as response to A	
H18	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H19	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H20	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H21	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H22	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H23	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H24	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H25	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
H26	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
H27	Facebook - My Big Coin	Defense – same as H1	Same as response to A	
I	Financial Summary Exhibit	Defense - Inaccurate and misleading	Exhibit is accurate and not misleading. Objection also goes only to weight and not admissibility.	
J	IRS Records (Crater and My Big Coin)	Defense - Object as to My Big Coin	My Big Coin's failure to file tax returns is relevant and admissible	
K	FinCEN Records (Crater and My Big Coin)	Defense - Object as wrong name	My Big Coin's failure to register with FinCEN is relevant and admissible	
L	Randall Crater Twitter screenshot	Defense - Irrelevant and prejudicial	It is relevant because it shows that the Twitter account belongs to Crater, and not unduly prejudicial	
M1	2013.12.11 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if Douglas does not testify	Douglas not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, not offered for the truth asserted, but instead for effect on listener – goes to Crater's level of knowledge of cryptocurrency and FinCEN registration requirements)	
M2	2014.1.6 (1) Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if Dreyer does not testify	Dreyer not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, Crater's statements are not hearsay and Dreyer's questions / comments provide necessary context).	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
M3	2014.1.6 (2) Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as irrelevant	Crater emailing the My Big Coin Twitter link to someone who later sent him money is proof of the scheme and Crater's knowledge and use of My Big Coin social media as a tool of the scheme.	
M4	2014.2.1 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as hearsay	Not offered for the truth. Instead, offered to show that My Big Coin investments are being reported to Crater. Also, statements of future intention are an exception to hearsay, and statements of an agent are not hearsay.	
M5	2014.3.5 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if McGowan doesn't testify	McGowan not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, Crater's statements are not hearsay and McGowan's questions/comments provide necessary context).	
M6	2014.4.3 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if Gorby doesn't testify	Gorby not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, not offered for the truth – instead email shows Crater's various email accounts and that My Big Coin social media is being reported to Crater. Also, a statement of an agent is admissible for its truth).	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
M7	2014.4.4 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if Kruger doesn't testify	Kruger not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, not offered for the truth – the transfers to Crater are proven through bank records – instead offered to show that My Big Coin investments are being reported to Crater. Also, a statement of an agent is admissible for its truth).	
M8	2014.4.11 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if McGowan doesn't testify	McGowan not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, these are statement of Crater, and McGowan's questions / comments provide context for Crater's responses.	
M9	2014.4.23 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object if Gillespie doesn't testify; wrong email account	Evidence will show this is Crater's email account. Gillespie not testifying is not a basis for exclusion (if hearsay is the issue, it's both admissible for non-hearsay purposes, such as to show Gillespie reporting to Crater about My Big Coin, and admissible for its truth as statement of an agent)	
M10	2014.7.18 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as incomplete without attachment	Incorrect – there are no attachments to the email	
M11	2014.8.9 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as hearsay	Not offered for the truth (the transfers to Crater are	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
			proven through bank records); instead offered to show that My Big Coin investments are being reported to Crater. Also, a statement of an agent is admissible for its truth.	
M12	2014.8.13 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as incomplete without attachments	Incorrect – there are no attachments to the email	
M13	2014.8.24 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as hearsay and wrong email account	Evidence will show this is Crater's email account and not hearsay because not offered for the truth of any assertion (instead offered for effect on listener and to show Crater's operation of the My Big Coin Exchange)	
M14	2014.9.11 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as hearsay if Peter Bell doesn't testify	Not offered for the truth (the transfer from Peter Bell to Crater is proven through bank records); instead offered to show that My Big Coin investments are being reported to Crater	
M15	2014.12.23 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as hearsay and wrong email account	Evidence will show this is Crater's email account and not hearsay because not offered for the truth of any assertion (instead offered to show Gillespie reporting to Crater about the My Big Coin Exchange and Crater's involvement in the Exchange's operation).	

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Objecting Party / Objection	Response	Date Admitted
			Also, a statement of an agent is admissible for its truth.	
M16	2015.1.27 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as irrelevant and prejudicial	Goes to Crater's knowledge about gold claims (<i>e.g.</i> , inconsistent with his other gold claims and shows he hadn't confirmed the existence of gold)	
M17	2015.1.28 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as incomplete without attachments	Incorrect – there are no attachments to the email	
M18	2015.3.21 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as irrelevant and prejudicial	Shows Crater's knowledge and involvement in the My Big Coin Exchange and its website, and prejudice (if any) does not outweigh probative value	
M19	2015.7.31 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object as irrelevant	Shows agency relationship and shows Crater's discussions of My Big Coin investments with Gillespie	
M20	2016.8.17 Email Correspondence	Defense – Authentication / TBD	Unknown	
M21	2016.9.9 Email Correspondence	Defense – Authentication / TBD	Unknown	
M22	2017.6.1 Email Correspondence	Defense - Object attorney client privilege and prejudicial	Crater has never had an attorney-client relationship with John Lynch. Prejudice, if any, does not substantially outweigh probative value.	